

REMARKS

Receipt of the Office Action dated March 23, 2005 is acknowledged. Claims 1, 3 and 15 have been canceled herein. Claims 2, 4-5, 10, 12 and 14 have been amended herein. Claims 2, 4-14 and 16 are pending. Reconsideration is earnestly solicited in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks.

Claims 1 and 3-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Burstein et al. Claims 1 and 3 have been canceled herein. Therefore, the rejections based on these claims are moot. The Office Action indicated that claim 2 “would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.”

Claim 2 has been amended to include the limitations of claim 1. Therefore, claim 2 is allowable over the prior art of record. Claims 5, 10, 12 and 14 have been amended herein to include the limitations of original dependent claim 2. For at least this reason, these claims are allowable over the prior art of record. Since claims 4, 6-9, 11, 13 and 16 depend from an allowed claim, for at least this reason these claims are also allowable over the prior art of record.

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance. Applicants reserve the right to file further applications to directed to canceled subject matter.

Dated: July 29, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Mark J. Thronson

Registration No.: 33,082

James M. Silbermann

Registration No.: 40,413

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN &
OSHINSKY LLP

2101 L Street NW

Washington, DC 20037-1526

(202) 785-9700

Attorneys for Applicant